# EXHIBIT F

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Deborah J. Brangman,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 12-351

v.

Honorable Anita B. Brody

AstraZeneca, LP and AstraZeneca

Pharmaceuticals, LP,

FILED VIA ECF

Defendants.

:

## DEFENDANTS ASTRAZENECA, LP AND ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS, $\underline{\text{LP'S PROPOSED VERDICT FORM}}$

Defendant AstraZeneca, LP and AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP ("AstraZeneca") respectfully request that the Court use the following proposed Jury Verdict Form.

#### Respectfully submitted,

#### /s/ Elizabeth Tempio Clement

Thomas J. Bender (PA #25512) Elizabeth Tempio Clement (PA #208344)

#### LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.

Three Parkway
1601 Cherry Street, Suite 1400
Philadelphia, PA 19102.1321
267.402.3000 (t)
267.402.3131 (f)
tbender@littler.com
eclement@littler.com

Attorneys for Defendant AstraZeneca, LP and AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP

Date: February 3, 2014

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Deborah J. Brangman,	
Plaintiff,	: Case No. 12-351
v.	: Honorable Anita B. Brody
AstraZeneca, LP, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP and Metropolitan Life Insurance Co.,  Defendant.	<ul><li>:</li><li>:</li><li>:</li><li>:</li><li>:</li><li>:</li><li>:</li><li>:</li><li>:</li></ul>
<u>VERD</u>	ICT FORM
We, the jury in the above-entitled action	on, find the following verdict on the questions
submitted to us:	
	an proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that her on the basis of her gender, her race, or both
Yes	No
Please proceed to Question 2.	
	an proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that her on the basis of her gender, her race, or both ons for which she applied?
Yes	No
Please proceed to Question 3.	

	Has Plaintiff Deborah Brangman proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that straZeneca took a materially adverse employment action against Ms. Brangman made an internal complaint about her supervisor and filed a charge of discrimination OC?
	Yes No
If yo	u answered "Yes" to Question 1, 2 or 3, please proceed to Question 4.
If yo	u answered "No" to Questions 1, 2, and 3 please proceed to Question 10.
4. she suffers fro AstraZene	Has Plaintiff Deborah Brangman proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that com a complete disability which prevents her from working and which is attributable eca?
	Yes No
If you	u answered "Yes" to Question 1 or 2, please proceed to Question 5.
If yo	u answered "No" to Questions 1 and 2, please proceed to Question 7.
5.	What amount of back pay is Ms. Brangman entitled to receive?
	\$
Pleas	se proceed to Question 6.
6.	What amount of front pay is Ms. Brangman entitled to receive?
Pleas	se proceed to Question 7.
7. emotional pa	What amount of compensatory damages should be awarded to Ms. Brangman for and mental suffering resulting from AstraZeneca's discriminatory conduct?
	\$

### Please proceed to Question 8.

8. Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that AstraZeneca acted with
malice or reckless indifference to Ms. Brangman's protected rights by eliminating her position
and failing to hire her for another position?
Yes No
If you answared "Ves" to Question 7 please present to Question 9
If you answered "Yes" to Question 7, please proceed to Question 8.
If you answered "No" to Questions 7, please proceed to Question 10.
9. What amount of punitive damages should be assessed against AstraZeneca?
\$
10. Has Plaintiff Deborah Brangman proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that she made a complaint to AstraZeneca's Compliance Department concerning conduct that she believed was materially inconsistent with, or a serious deviation from, a State or Federal law, rule, or regulation?
Yes No
If you answered "Yes" to Question 9, please proceed to Question 10.
If you answered "No" to Questions 9, please ignore the remaining question. Please have the foreperson sign and date the verdict form and notify the Courtroom Deputy that you are ready to return to the Courtroom.
11. Has Plaintiff Deborah Brangman proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Defendant discriminated against her primarily because of her complaint to the Compliance Department?
Yes No

* 1	You have concluded your deliberations.	Please have t	the foreperson sig	n and date
the ve	rdict form and notify the Courtroom Dep	uty that you a	are ready to retur	n to the
Court	room.			

			4	
		FOREPERSON	***************************************	
Dated: March_	, 2014			